

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,170	05/16/2005	Toong Chuan Yong	1237.P004US/ADR/ay	2404
38556 7590 03/30/2007 LAWRENCE Y.D. HO & ASSOCIATES PTE LTD 30 BIDEFORD ROAD, #02-02, THONGSIA BUILDING SINGAPORE, 229922 SINGAPORE			EXAMINER	
			KENNY, DANIEL J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
on torn one			3635	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THC	03/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/535,170	YONG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dan Kenny	3635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	ddress		
Period for Reply			<u>.</u>		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions for the provision of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION 36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this of the company			
Status	•				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	lav 2005				
	action is non-final.				
,					
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
·		,	•		
Disposition of Claims		,			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.			•		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		•		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.		•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	·	•		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		pplication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage		
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		· ·		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
2) ☐ Notice of Dialisperson's Patent Diawing Neview (P10-946) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/535,170

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the Search Report is not considered to be an information disclosure statement (IDS) complying with 37 CFR 1.98. 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2) requires a legible copy of: (1) each foreign patent; (2) each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; (3) for each cited pending U.S. application, the application specification including claims, and any drawing of the application, or that portion of the application which caused it to be listed including any claims directed to that portion, unless the cited pending U.S. application is stored in the Image File Wrapper (IFW) system; and (4) all other information, or that portion which caused it to be listed. In addition, each IDS must include a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office (see 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1) and (b)), and MPEP § 609.04(a), subsection I. states, "the list ... must be submitted on a separate paper." Therefore, the references cited in the Search Report have not been considered. Applicant is advised that the date of submission of any item of information or any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the IDS, including all "statement" requirements of 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Specification

Application/Control Number: 10/535,170

Art Unit: 3635

The disclosure is objected to because it lacks a cross-reference to the related foreign application. See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 2, 4, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a credible asserted utility or a well-established utility. The claims lack a procedural step.

Claims 1,2, 4, and 8 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a credible asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1,2,4, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear what 1,2, 8, and 4 define, as they appear to be method claims without corresponding methods steps.

Application/Control Number: 10/535,170

Art Unit: 3635

Additionally, claim 2 recites the limitation "said joining". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. It is unclear how the rib can be "joined from" the modular components.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Le Gall (1,887,814) in view of Tesek (2,020,044).

Le Gall (1,887,814) discloses a wood panel (capable of use as a door panel, page 1, line 3) with at least one lattice-work (page 1, line 50) rib (3) comprising notched (4, 5), interconnected members requiring no adhesive (any suitable means, page 1, line 8, also encompasses fasteners), said lattice-work capable of assembly within a frame (6), or before placement in the frame, and capable of different lattice-work configurations (page 1, lines 53-55).

Application/Control Number: 10/535,170 Page 5

Art Unit: 3635

The members taught by Le Gall do not expressly include scrap wood joined together to form a rib of adequate dimension. However, it is well know in the art to use scrap wood in this way. Reference Tesek teaching a door having a core (10) including scrap wood joined together to form a rib (30) of adequate dimension (col. 2, lines 10-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious and well within the level of one skilled in the art at the time the present invention was made to modify the door panel of Le Gall using the scrap wood joined together as taught by Tesek to provide greater control over material costs.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Kenny whose telephone number is (571) 272-9951. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 9 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on (571) 272-6842. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Jeanette Chapman Primary Examiner Jeanette Chapman Primary Examiner Application/Control Number: 10/535,170 Page 6

Art Unit: 3635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DK DX

3/27/2007